

Mergers of Systems Containing Gas

F. R. Pearce¹, P. A. Thomas¹ & H. M. P. Couchman²

¹ *Astronomy Centre, Sussex University, Falmer, Brighton, BN1 9QH.*

² *Department of Astronomy, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario N6A 3KV, Canada.*

ABSTRACT

Several simple mergers between model galaxy clusters containing a mixture of gas and dark matter are examined, testing the coupling of the gas to the underlying collisionless material. The gas is shocked, irreversibly dissipating the energy fed into it by the collisionless component and forms a resolved constant-density core. For the dark matter, however, admixture of phase space vacuum is not very efficient and a constant-density core is not produced. In the final state the central gas has little residual kinetic energy, indicating that streaming motions do not help to support the gas.

Key Words: Methods: numerical, Galaxies: clustering, Galaxies: formation, Galaxies: intergalactic medium, dark matter.

1 Introduction

In this paper we examine the behaviour of the intra-cluster medium in galaxy clusters. Cluster gas is important because it is the dominant form of baryonic matter in these objects and observations of its X-ray emission provide some of the best currently available constraints on cluster properties. The gas has temperatures in the region of 5×10^7 K and emits mainly via thermal bremsstrahlung. This emission is observed in the X-ray waveband, where galaxy clusters form some of the brightest sources. As bremsstrahlung emission depends upon the *square* of the gas density it is very sensitive to this parameter and so the surface brightness is sharply peaked towards the central regions. Much debate has centred around the observation of a constant density central

region in most clusters (Jones & Forman 1984) and the relevance of this when choosing a profile for the underlying dark matter. We examine the merging scenario to show that constant density gas cores form naturally *without* a similar core in the dark matter. The question of whether the gas attains the virial temperature is also investigated. Previous simulations (Evrard 1990, hereafter E90, Thomas & Couchman 1992, hereafter TC92) suggested that it may not - with partial support being provided by residual streaming motions. We find no evidence for this and suggest the motions were due to inadequate resolution and/or inaccuracies caused by using too long a timestep.

Early attempts to study the behaviour of gas in an astrophysical context were pioneered by Larson (1978) and extended by Cavaliere *et al.* (1986), who used a hydrostatic approximation to model the gas. This is possible since the high temperatures imply high sound speeds, which should allow the gas to rapidly adapt to any global changes in the potential. More recently, attempts have been made to treat the gas more physically by letting it convert into stars when a certain condition related to the local density and temperature is reached (Carlberg 1986).

The development of more sophisticated gas codes (such as SPH), that were able to handle large density contrasts, has enabled several groups to carry out large simulations modelling cluster scale sections of the universe (E90, TC92, Hernquist & Katz, 1989, Cen *et al.* 1990, amongst others). Usually the largest object in the simulated volume is identified with a galaxy cluster and studied in detail. The formation history of this object is often a confused and poorly understood affair because of the complicated initial state. As the authors themselves point out, the resulting structure is not very reliable as the object concerned contains too few (less than a few thousand) particles. More recently Navarro & White (1993) have looked in more detail at simple mergers but lack the resolution of the simulation described here.

We intend to adopt the approach of simplifying the problem until we can hope to understand the physics. To achieve this we abandon all pretence of modelling a definite cosmology, considering objects similar to those studied in detail in Pearce, Thomas & Couchman 1993, (hereafter Paper 1), where purely collisionless systems were examined. We build upon the ground-work laid there by introducing a gaseous component that makes up 11 percent of the cluster by mass. The exact amount is not important although observed clusters do contain about this much gas (See Sarazin 1986 for a general review of cluster properties, Fabian 1991). By comparing simulations both with and without a gas component we hope to gain an insight into the physics of the combined system.

Including gas in a simulation allows a more direct comparison with observed phenomena. This is because in the real world the dark matter distribution must be derived from the visible components (*i.e.* gas and stars), a process that requires additional assumptions. As our model contains both phases we can hope to check the accuracy of this reasoning.

As in Paper 1, we try to understand the merging process in detail. To do this we deliberately look only at simple mergers, starting with well understood initial states. Coupled with this we also have over 32000 particles in our final object, many more than some earlier simulations. This allows shocks to develop properly, converting the correct amount of kinetic energy into heat and making the results obtained from the gaseous component much more reliable.

We collide two sub-clusters, each made up of a mixture of collisionless (hereafter dark matter) particles and gas. The ratio, β , between the kinetic energy of the dark matter and the thermal energy of the gas was set equal to unity initially. Then,

$$\beta = \frac{\sigma^2}{\frac{k_B T}{\mu m_H}} \quad (1)$$

where σ is the one-dimensional velocity dispersion of the dark matter, T is the gas temperature and k_B, μ and m_H are Boltzmann's Constant, the relative molecular mass and the mass of a hydrogen atom respectively.

In all our runs we merge two similarly sized objects head-on at different speeds (off-centre mergers will be considered in a future paper (Pearce, Thomas & Couchman 1994)). We show that the gas is shocked, especially in the centre of the cluster where its entropy is increased above that of the equivalent measure in the dark matter. No gas remains with a low enough entropy to sit at the bottom of the potential well formed by the dark matter and so a core region of constant density, nearly constant temperature gas forms. This region is supported almost entirely by thermal pressure, with little residual kinetic motion and is in hydrostatic equilibrium. In this region the gas is hotter than the dark matter, producing values of $\beta \sim 0.8$. (A value of $\beta < 1$ is consistent with the gas being the more extended component.) Beyond the gas core the two phases have very similar profiles that are close to those obtained in our earlier work (Paper 1). This would indicate that the gas can closely follow the dark matter profile in the outer regions.

In this paper we are particularly interested in the central regions of the merger product. A constant density core region never forms in the dark matter, confirming the results of Paper 1. For a purely gaseous collision a small core region forms, due to the shock of dissipating the collisional kinetic energy. In the combination gas and dark matter runs, however, a larger core forms because in addition to the initial shock, energy is exchanged between the two phases whilst they are separated, and this becomes irreversibly tied to the gas when it is shocked again by a secondary merger. It is the combination of energy feed into the gas and the irreversible jump in entropy that increases the size of the core region visible in the gas.

In the following section we explain the computational methods used in more detail and give the specific parameters and configuration of each of our 5 mergers. In Section 3 we present the results, with Section 4 containing a discussion of them. We present our conclusions in Section 5.

2 Method

2.1 Computational Algorithm

The majority of the simulations in this paper were carried out using a combination of smoothed particle hydrodynamics (SPH) to follow the gas and an adaptive particle-particle, particle-mesh (AdP³M) technique to handle the gravity. We call this hybrid code AdP³M-SPH.

AdP³M-SPH is the version of Hugh Couchman’s AdP³M (Couchman 1991) used by Paper 1 with gas forces applied at the particle-particle level. SPH was introduced by Lucy (1977) and Gingold & Monaghan (1977) who used it to model polytropic stars.

We use an SPH implementation similar to that of Monaghan, for which the formalism has been published several times (Gingold & Monaghan 1982, Hernquist & Katz 1989, Monaghan 1992). When merging SPH and AdP³M the only difficulty arises when a particles smoothing kernel extends over a subgrid boundary (subgrids are positioned by the AdP³M algorithm so that more mesh points are placed in the denser regions. This reduces the number of particle-particle calculations that need to be carried out). We handle this case by checking if a particle has a neighbour outside the subgrid. If it does then the SPH forces are applied at the coarser level. A full description and details of the tests can be found in Pearce (1992) and Couchman, Thomas & Pearce (1993). Some general remarks concerning the implementation of SPH can be found in Martin, Pearce & Thomas (1993), hereafter MPT.

2.2 Initial Conditions

We carried out 5 main runs, the parameters for which are listed in Table 1. All involved colliding two equal mass clusters at different speeds. Each merger was begun with the two spherically symmetric clusters just in contact. The collision speed of run dg1.0 was set equal to the circular speed at the edge of one of the clusters. This is approximately half the one-dimensional virial speed at the centre of the cluster. It is also roughly equivalent to the sound speed in the outer regions. This run is very similar to run f3 of Paper 1, which here we call run d1.0 for consistency of notation as it contains only dark matter. We include the parameters in Table 1 for comparison, as both have the same total mass and collision speed. The third and fourth runs, dg2.0 and dg0.5 had collision speeds twice and half that of run dg1.0 respectively. The final run, g1.0, was carried out at the same speed as run dg1.0 but contained only gas.

The systems we model have initial Hubble density profiles of slope 3;

$$\rho = \rho_c \left[1 + \left(\frac{r}{r_c} \right)^2 \right]^{-\frac{3}{2}} \quad (2)$$

within some outer radius R_{max} , $\rho = 0$ beyond R_{max} . Here ρ_c is the central density and r_c is the cluster core radius.

The core radius needs to be well within the outer boundary but large enough so that we can detect a reduction during mergers. We compromise on $R_{max}/r_c = 16$. The gravitational softening was fixed at $\epsilon = 0.4r_c$, above the interparticle separation in the original cores. This is explained in greater detail in Paper 1.

Each phase in each of the initial clusters contained 8192 particles, giving 32768 particles in all (except for the single component runs, d1.0 and g1.0, which had half this number). With each gas particle having $\frac{1}{8}$ of the mass of a dark matter particle the latter component dominates the overall potential.

The timestep, Δt , in our simulations is fixed by the condition

$$\Delta t = 0.25 \min_i \left(\frac{d}{a_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (3)$$

where a_i is the acceleration on particle i . d is a distance for which we take;

$$d = \min(\epsilon, h_{min}) \quad (4)$$

where h_{min} is the minimum smoothing length found by the SPH algorithm (*i.e.* it is controlled by the greatest density currently in the simulation). In practice $\epsilon > h_{min}$ at all times for our models, and so we are applying a more restrictive timestepping criterion than that used in Paper 1. Too long a timestep leads to spurious jumps in a gas particle's entropy because close interactions are not accurately followed. This is not a problem in our simulations - see Fig. 4 and the discussion in the following section.

The gas is positioned by transforming a relaxed uniform box into the desired density profile. This ensures that the initial gas separations are close to a relaxed state immediately. The particles within the initial box are ordered in radius from an arbitrary centre and then this radius is adjusted so as to reproduce the density profile. The local density is set from equation (2), whilst the temperature is set equal to σ^2 (*i.e.* $\beta = 1$). The velocity dispersion, σ is derived by solving Jeans equation for the above density profile with no outer cut-off (Equation 4-54, Binney & Tremaine 1987). The initial positions of the dark matter are set equal to the gas positions reflected through the centre of each cluster. In Paper 1 the initial velocities were set equal to the velocity dispersion at the particles position. This process only spans five of the six phase space dimensions. In this paper the velocities of the dark matter are drawn from a Gaussian distribution of width σ , fully spanning the available phase space. This change makes no discernible difference to the results. The gas is initially at rest.

2.3 Tests

Tests of the individual codes involved have been published elsewhere, (see Paper 1 for tests of AdP³M, TC92). We tested our version of SPH by reproducing

Figure 1: Energy conservation

Figure 2: Evolution of the gas and dark matter density profiles.

standard shock results in a 2d by 1d tube. The correct jump conditions were reproduced with a shock front that was about 6 particles deep. This would indicate that over 1000 particles are required to model a 3-dimensional shock correctly. Detailed tests of our SPH implementation are given in MPT and CPT.

A quick and revealing method of looking at global changes in the system is an energy track. This is illustrated by Fig. 9 which shows the energy statistics for one of our mergers. Energy and time are normalised by setting the total mass, M_{tot} , the outer radius of the system, R_{max} and G equal to unity. The thermal energy is displayed multiplied by a factor of 8, as the total gas mass is $\frac{1}{8}$ that of the dark matter. The effect of the merger is clearly visible on Fig. 9, however it is impossible to judge the accuracy of the energy conservation due to the scaling. Fig. 1 expands the system total energy and scales it to the initial value. There are nearly 1000 timesteps in this plot with typical energy conservation better than 1 part in 10000 per step. The same accuracy, smoothing and timestepping parameters were used in all of the runs detailed in this paper.

The combined code was tested by observing the evolution of a static configuration. A single isolated cluster was set up following the prescription given above. This consisted of 8192 gas and 8192 dark matter particles in what should be a nearly stable arrangement. For this run the total energy is conserved to better the 0.4 percent and the start and finish parameters are listed in Table 1. Some expansion at the edge is to be expected as here the gas is not in pressure balance. As in our previous paper (Paper 1) the choice of centre is very important when plotting logarithmic density profiles. For the static run we tried the ‘true’ centre (the position about which the initial profile was built), the position of the gas particle with the highest local density, the mean position of the 100 or so densest gas particles, the most tightly bound dark matter particle and the mean of the 100 or so most tightly bound particles. All five of these positions remained close together, within less than the gravitational softening length. In what follows the mean position of the 100 or so densest gas particles is used as the expansion centre for profiling and radial measurements.

Fig. 2 shows the initial and final density profiles for the gas and the dark matter, with the end-state taken after approximately the same length of time as the collisions discussed below. The expansion of the edge can readily be seen, as can a very small increase in the core size of both the gas and the dark matter. Some rearrangement in the centre is almost certain to occur as the existence of a gravitational softening length is not taken into account when setting up the profiles. This effect will be most noticeable in the densest regions, particularly

Figure 3: Evolution of the gas temperature and the range in temperatures at the endpoint.

Figure 4: Entropy change in a static model.

so for the gas as the same particles remain in the centre, whilst the dark matter particles in the region keep changing. Some small rearrangement is inevitable anyway as this distribution function is not formally static. The small changes in the core parameters occur quickly and then stop with the system rapidly settling into a steady state almost indistinguishable from the initial conditions.

The evolution of the gas temperature profile is shown in Fig. 3 along with the maximum and minimum temperature of particles in each radial bin at the endpoint. Some cooling has taken place in the outer regions due to the expansion. This has occurred almost isentropically. A plot of entropy change against initial radius is given in Fig. 4. The entropy change, ΔS is defined as;

$$\Delta S = \log_{10} \left[\frac{T_b \rho_a^{\frac{2}{3}}}{T_a \rho_b^{\frac{2}{3}}} \right] \quad (5)$$

where T and ρ are the temperature and density of a particle and the subscripts a and b refer to the start and finish times respectively.

The gas has a tendency to order itself in entropy, with low entropy gas sinking to the bottom of the potential well whilst high entropy gas floats. It is therefore vitally important to keep the scatter in entropy produced by the algorithm (the width in Fig. 4) as small as possible, otherwise artificial cool core regions can arise.

The slight upward drift in the mean of Fig. 4 is caused by a variety of reasons. Firstly, the density is overestimated at the first step because the initial configuration is not completely relaxed, which leads to an underestimate of the initial entropy. As the gas relaxes back to a stable configuration the SPH density returns to the ‘correct’ value. This relaxation is artificial and not due to any actual density change and so a slight jump in entropy occurs. Secondly, any application of the artificial viscosity, which contains sub-sonic terms will increase the entropy of the particles, so we expect a slow upward drift. The jumps in a gas particles’ entropy due to collisional shocks are over an order of magnitude greater than these effects.

3 Results

Table 1: Run Parameters, by column a run id, the number of particles in each phase, the ratio of the collision speed to the central velocity dispersion, best fit core radii (in units of the gravitational softening length) and the best fit slopes.

Label	N_{DM}	N_{gas}	V_{coll}/σ_0	a_{DM}	a_{gas}	s_{DM}	s_{gas}
dg0.5	2×8192	2×8192	0.25	2.20	3.46	2.80	2.96
dg1.0	2×8192	2×8192	0.5	2.36	3.51	3.08	3.01
dg2.0	2×8192	2×8192	1.0	2.10	4.56	2.82	2.81
g1.0	0	2×8192	0.5	-	3.27	-	3.18
d1.0	2×8192	0	0.5	2.22	-	2.82	-
single _{end}	8192	8192	0	2.85	3.01	3.36	3.24
single _{init}	8192	8192	0	2.50	2.50	3.00	3.00

Figure 5: Potential Energy for runs d1.0, g1.0 and dg1.0, scaled as in Fig. 9.

3.1 Dark Matter Only

The merger of purely collisionless systems has been discussed in detail in Paper 1. We reiterate the general results here for completeness.

During the merger of two systems of collisionless material the two dark matter spheres approach, overlap and then the core regions clearly reseparate before turning around and falling back in again. The amplitude of the core separation rapidly decreases with two ‘bounces’ being clearly visible on an energy plot.

The final density profile is close to $\rho \propto r^{-3}$ in the outer regions and the size of the original constant density core region drops by almost the maximum amount allowable by phase space constraints. This would indicate that no large scale constant density core region should exist in the dark matter (and potential well and mass) profiles of observable objects. (Note, however, that these models ignore the influence of a central galaxy, which may sweep the core free of dark matter via two-body interactions.)

In Fig. 5 we give the potential energy tracks for this run (d1.0), the pure gas run (g1.0) and the combination gas and dark matter run carried out at this collision speed (dg1.0).

The final density profile for both this pure dark matter run and the pure gas run (g1.0) mentioned below are shown in Fig. 6

Figure 6: Density profiles from runs d1.0 and g1.0. The densities are scaled so that the initial central density is unity.

Figure 7: Entropy, Kinetic energy and total energy of the core gas. The entropy is scaled arbitrarily whilst the energies are scaled as in Fig. 9

Figure 8: Entropy track for the gas binned by initial radius.

3.2 Gas Only

The merger of two purely gaseous spheres is in effect the simplest of all the 5 runs considered here. During the collision the forward side of each of the spheres is compressed forming an enhanced density slab perpendicular to the collision axis. The isotropic nature of the gas forces rapidly destroy this structure in favour of a spherically symmetric density profile. In the final state the original gas spheres form two hemispheres aligned along the collision axis with little interpenetration occurring. Only one deepening of the potential is seen in Fig. 5 and there are no post-merger oscillations.

The speed with which a spherically symmetric structure is obtained is quite surprising, with the density enhancement along the collision front being smoothed out over a period close to that for local sound crossing. The only sign of a recent merger event is a temperature enhancement and two approximately planar shock fronts propagating outwards perpendicular to the collision axis.

The final static structure has the density profile shown in Fig. 6. This is close to the purely dark matter case, with only a small constant density core region forming and a slope close to $\rho \propto r^{-3}$ in the outer regions.

To aid in analysing the merger we binned the gas particles into 4 groups depending upon their initial position. The inner 25 percent by mass we refer to as the core gas, whilst the outer 25 percent forms the halo gas. There are also two intermediate bins comprised of the gas between 25 percent and 50 percent (1/2 gas) and between 50 percent and 75 percent (3/4 gas). Each bin contains 4000 gas particles with the outer 192 from each initial cluster being ignored for this part of the analysis. There is little mixing between the four groups, with original halo gas remaining, on the whole, as halo gas and original core gas remaining as core gas.

In Fig. 7 we show how the kinetic and total energy of the core gas varies with time. The only significant feature appears as the two cores merge. The kinetic energy picked up during the approach is thermalised leaving the gas almost stationary. This sudden stop is also seen in the entropy curve plotted above (and in Fig. 8). The core gas loses energy during the collision because it does work on the gas immediately surrounding it. A shock wave propagates outwards, progressively doing work on gas further and further out. The halo gas is given a significant amount of energy by this process, becoming unbound in our case.

The gas is shocked by the collision, with a small constant density core form-

Figure 9: Energy plot from the dg1.0 merger. The energy is in units of the total initial mass, the maximum radius of one of the initial clusters (R_{max}) and $G = 1$. The time unit is taken to be $(R_{max}^3/GM)^{1/2}$.

ing. Fig. 8 shows the entropy of each of the 4 gas bins plotted against time and scaled to their initial values. The shock of the collision can clearly be seen along with the large boost in entropy which the halo gas undergoes as it is shocked by gas interior to it. The entropy jump is smallest for the core gas in this case. This will contrast with the mixed runs which we discuss next.

3.3 Dark Matter and Gas

We have carried out 3 combination dark matter and gas runs. The main one had the same collision speed as the pure dark matter and pure gas runs discussed above. This run was bracketed by runs at half and twice this speed. The slowest run corresponds to two clusters forming almost in contact whilst the fastest run is only bound because of the internal energy of the clusters. The central speed would be obtained by two point masses starting from rest at an initial separation of 4 times the maximum radius of one of the clusters. In all three cases the general scenario is the same. The merger follows a path similar to the purely collisionless case until maximum compression is reached. The two gas spheres collide and form a pancake like structure about the centre of mass of the system, with the short axis aligned with the collision direction. The dark matter component continues on, leaving its original gas behind. At this point the gas is at rest around the centre of mass of the system, whilst the collisionless material has re-separated as in the dark matter only case discussed above. The two dark matter centres are clearly distinct and some distance from the centre of mass. The central gas is no longer confined in a deep potential well so it expands, falling back towards the nearest receding dark matter sphere (which in the head-on case is not the one it started from).

As with the collisionless mergers the simplest way to follow the large scale evolution of the system is an energy plot. Fig. 9 shows the total energy, the total kinetic energy and eight times the thermal energy of the gas for run dg1.0. Two passages are clearly visible, although only the first of these has a significant impact on the total thermal energy of the gas. The system was allowed to settle down for several crossing times before the steady state properties of the final object were examined.

A comparison of the potential energy plots for this run, a similar run without gas (d1.0) and a purely gaseous run (g1.0), is given in Fig. 5. The presence of even a small amount of gas causes faster evolution towards the final state after the initial merger event has taken place. This is because each cluster deposits its gas at the centre of mass on the first collision and then this mass drags back the

Figure 10: Density profiles for the gas and dark matter at the end of run dg1.0. The densities are scaled so that the initial central density is unity. The gas density has been multiplied by 8, scaling it up to match the dark matter.

Figure 11: Entropy, Kinetic energy and total energy of the core gas from the combined run. Again the entropy is scaled arbitrarily whilst the energies are scaled as in Fig. 9

receding dark matter components. The time gap between the first and second passage through the centre of mass is significantly reduced in this way. While the gaseous and collisionless components are well separated energy is exchanged between them.

The density profiles for the gas and dark matter at the end of run dg1.0 are given in Fig. 10. The gas is in hydrostatic equilibrium, and the profiles have stopped evolving. In this combination run the two phases no longer have the same profile, with a definite constant density core appearing in the gas. Contrast this evolution with the static model of Fig. 2, where the two phases stay closely linked.

Again, as for the purely gaseous case we bin the gas depending upon its position in the original clusters. Initially similar behaviour is observed, however comparison of Fig. 11 with Fig. 7 reveals that extra structure appears after the first merger. Once the core gas has been halted in a shock and undergone an entropy jump, energy is pumped into it from the receding dark matter. The gas is not confined at the centre of the system and so expands, falling back into the dark matter potential wells. The net effect is to transfer the orbital energy of the dark matter in kinetic energy of the gas. The dark matter spheres do not reach the same separation as in the purely collisionless state, clearly showing that some orbital energy has been lost to the gas.

The main additional feature on Fig. 11 that is not on Fig. 7 is the boost in entropy experienced by the core gas during a second collision. Upon turn around and re-collapse the core gas, which has gained energy from the dark matter, is heavily shocked, irreversibly increasing its entropy. This second shock is clearly visible in Fig. 12, where the entropy of the gas in run dg1.0 is plotted against time (again relative to the initial values). Only the core gas experiences this strong second shock as only it undergoes a second collision. The entropy of the core gas is raised to such an extent that no low entropy gas remains that is capable of sitting at the bottom of the potential well now formed by the dark

Figure 12: Entropy track for the gas in the combined run binned by initial radius.

Figure 13: Temperature profile at the end of run dg1.0.

matter. In this case the core gas has been more heavily shocked than the gas surrounding it but remains at lower entropy.

The final temperature profile is given in Fig. 13. The small spread between the maximum and minimum temperatures in any bin is an indication of the reliability of the results. (Spurious cooling, an artifact of misconceptions in the application of SPH, can lead to cold particles which sink because of their low entropy, producing an artificial cool region at the centre. The existence of these particles is masked when only the mean temperature is plotted.) The temperature profile is nearly isothermal in the central region, slowly rolling off beyond the gas core radius. We find no evidence for a central temperature inversion found by previous authors (E90,TC92).

The gas at the end of our simulation has very little residual kinetic energy. The ratio of core gas kinetic to thermal energy is about 4 percent immediately after the merger, dropping to 1.65 percent by the end due to the viscous terms in the code. These values are lower than those presented by previous studies (E90,TC92). We believe the difference is due (as suggested by them) to incomplete thermalisation of the kinetic energy due to the small number of gas particles in the interesting regions of the earlier work. Our results contain no sign of large scale streaming motions in the gas, suggesting that these motions are not responsible for partial support of the gas in real clusters (However, preliminary results from offset mergers suggest that rotational kinetic energy can amount to as much as 30 percent of the thermal energy).

The results for both the faster and slower collisions, runs dg2.0 and dg0.5 are very similar to those presented above. In both the dark matter core size drops whilst a constant density gas core appears. The final gas core is larger in run dg2.0, whilst for run dg0.5 it is smaller. This is to be expected as during faster mergers the initial shock is greater and the gas picks up more kinetic energy before the second collision of the cores. Table 1 lists the measured core sizes for both the dark matter and gas phases for all 5 of the runs presented above. In all cases the fit was carried out between a radius of 2 and 30 gravitational softening lengths with a Hubble density profile of the form:

$$\rho = \rho_c \left[1 + \left(\frac{r}{r_c} \right)^2 \right]^{-\frac{s}{2}} \quad (6)$$

which is similar to equation (2) except that we now have a variable slope, s , (instead of fixing $s = 3$). The best fit value for s is also listed in Table 1.

The existence of a gas core radius *does not* reveal a similar dark matter core, whereas at larger radii the gas and dark matter do appear to have very similar profiles.

4 Discussion

4.1 Real Clusters

X-ray observations of galaxy clusters have provided evidence for a core region in the gas (Jones and Forman 1984), but the interpretation of this result was complicated by the large beam size of the detectors used (see Gerbal *et al.* 1992). It is often assumed that the underlying gravitational potential has a core radius as the gas sound speed is high enough to allow many crossing times in the central regions. We find that the two distributions need not be so closely related, with the central gas being shocked more than the equivalent process of phase mixing for the dark matter during our collisions. The gas can then exist in hydrostatic equilibrium in the core-less potential well defined by the dark matter whilst maintaining a constant density core region itself.

The problem of comparing our models to the observations is complicated by the lack of a galactic population in the simulations. Although we can observe the gas via its X-ray emission, in the real world the dark matter distribution must be derived from that of the galaxies. Processes such as dynamical friction and galaxy-galaxy mergers will drive these two distribution functions apart, particularly in the dense central regions. In addition the presence of a large central galaxy in many clusters is invariably associated with a cooling flow and may also alter the core dark matter distribution via two-body interactions.

Coupled with this the formation of real galaxy clusters is likely to be a lot more messy than our simple mechanism, involving multi-body mergers with a range of masses, speeds and impact parameters. The colliding clusters may also contain a significant amount of sub-structure, with secondary infall and tidal torques providing additional complications. Preliminary results for offset mergers, to be reported in a future paper, show that a gas core is obtained for all impact parameters, formed via the same shock mechanism detailed here plus some additional rotational support.

4.2 The relative energies of the gas and dark matter

In the cores of the merger products in our simulations the gas is hotter than the dark matter, $\beta < 1$ (see Equation 1). However outside the core, throughout the majority of the cluster, we have $\beta \approx 1$. This latter result is in agreement with previous simulations (E90,TC92). As a consequence of this equality of specific energies for the gas and dark matter, the spatial distribution of the two phases are very similar. However the actual form of the density profile varies in different simulations. Merger simulations (*e.g.* White 1980, Villumsen 1983, Paper 1, this work) tend to give slopes at the half-mass radius of $s \approx 3$. More realistic simulations with continuous infall of matter give shallower slopes, $s \approx 2$ (E90,TC92).

The amount of kinetic energy present in the inner third of the gas at the

endpoints of simulation dg1.0 is just 1.6 percent of the total thermal plus kinetic energy. Most of the thermalisation of the kinetic energy takes place in the two major shocking events associated with the collisions of the gas cores. However there is a slow downward drift of the kinetic energy at later times—immediately after the second collision the kinetic energy is 4 percent of the total. It is not clear to what extent this continued thermalisation is to be expected in real systems as the dissipation processes within the intracluster medium are not well-understood. Nevertheless, the low fractional kinetic energies which we find are smaller than those recorded by E90 and TC92. There are two possible reasons for this. Firstly, it could be that our integrations are more accurate and that the motions seen in previous work were numerical artefacts caused by having too few particles in the cluster. Alternatively, the head-on collisions reported here might be singular. Preliminary results from off-centre collisions suggest that as much as 30 percent of the energy of the gas may be in the form of rotational kinetic energy.

4.3 Adding low entropy gas

One way of eliminating the finite gas core in our simulations would be to add low entropy gas to the cluster. This might be, for example, by the infall of cool subgroups, or by the expulsion of cold gas from galaxies. The difficulty with this is that the speeds of such systems relative to the cluster centre would in general be larger than the sound speed and shocks would raise the entropy up to that of the cluster. For example the slow collision considered in this paper had an initial approach speed that was equivalent to starting the clusters from rest with their centres just 2.3 cluster radii apart, and yet the core was almost as large as for the more violent collisions.

4.4 Disclaimer

We should stress that as yet no simulation of the intracluster medium has attempted to model the physical processes which must be going on within it. We know that the metallicity of the gas is about 0.3 solar and this influx of metals is associated with an unknown injection of energy. Gas and magnetic fields are continually being stripped from infalling galaxies and there is an exchange of energy between these galaxies and the gas and dark matter. We have deliberately kept our simulations simple so that the collision process can be examined in isolation. Our clusters may not, however, resemble those in the real world.

5 Conclusions

In Paper 1 we showed that successive collisions tend to reduce the core radii of the collisionless component as little phase vacuum is mixed into the central

regions during mergers. This result holds true for the dark matter in the dual-component mergers studied in this paper.

The gas-only merger products show a small increase in core radius due to shocking of the central gas and this effect is exaggerated in the two-phase collisions. The specific energy of the core gas is higher than that of the dark matter and so the former is more extended. At larger radii the two components have very similar profiles, close to the de Vaucouleurs profile found by Paper 1 (which is also approximately their initial profile). Secondary infall may lower the slope of the density profile in real clusters (Bertschinger 1985).

The lack of any residual kinetic energy in the gas in the central part of our simulation, in contrast to previous work, indicates that many gas particles are needed in order to model shocks correctly. Earlier studies have contained around 1000 gas particles in the interesting regions whilst our models contain over 16000. Structures containing less than a few thousand gas particles that have been modelled with SPH and have formed via shocking *cannot* be trusted in detail. This is an important constraint on cosmological simulations, which although they often contain many more particles *in total* than we are capable of following, also have far more elaborate structures and many more condensations each of which consists of relatively few particles.

How generic are the results presented in this paper? We have suggested that energy is pumped from the dark matter into the gas and then irreversibly dissipated in shocks. Preliminary simulations involving off-centre collisions suggest that a similar mechanism operates in more complicated situations. Additional support of the core is provided by rotation. Our idealised models would therefore suggest a constant density gas core region in all clusters, with a correspondingly small core radius for the underlying dark matter. Unfortunately this conclusion neglects a wide range of physical processes as discussed above.

We believe that the combination of an adaptive high resolution particle code and smoothed particle hydrodynamics, together with our approach of looking at relatively simple systems has allowed us to reach new and firm conclusions about the properties of the intracluster gas in galaxy clusters and the underlying dark matter distribution.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Simon White for a discussion on the physical mechanism of core production. PAT and H MPC acknowledge a NATO Collaborative Research Grant CR6920182 which facilitated their interaction. We are grateful for use of the facilities of the STARLINK minor node at Sussex.

References

- Bertschinger, E., 1985, ApJS, 58, 39
- Binney, J., Tremaine, S., 1987, Galactic Dynamics. Princeton University Press, Princeton
- Cavaliere, A., Santangelo, P., Tarquini, G., Vittorio, N., 1986, ApJ, 305, 651
- Carlberg, R., 1986, ApJ, 310, 593
- Cen, R. Y., Jameson, A., Lin, F., Ostriker, J. P., 1990, ApJ, 326, L41
- Couchman, H. M. P., 1991, ApJ, 368, L23
- Couchman, H. M. P., Thomas, P. A., Pearce, F. R., 1993, in preparation, CPT
- Evrard, A. E., 1990, ApJ, 363, 349, E90
- Fabian, A. C., 1991, MNRAS, 253, 29p
- Gerbai, D., Durret, F., Lima-Neto, G., Lachiez-Rey, M., 1992, A&A, 253, 77
- Gingold, R. A., Monaghan, J. J., 1977, MNRAS, 181, 375
- Gingold, R. A., Monaghan, J. J., 1982, J. Comp. Phys., 46, 429
- Hernquist, L., Katz, N., 1989, ApJS, 70, 419
- Jones, C., Forman, W., 1984, ApJ, 276, 38
- Larson, R. B., 1978, MNRAS, 184, 69
- Lucy, L. B., 1977, AJ, 82, 1013
- Martin, T. J., Pearce, F. R., Thomas, P. A., 1993, Preprint, University of Sussex, MPT
- Monaghan, J. J., 1992, ARA&A, 30, 543
- Monaghan, J. J., Lattanzio, J. C., 1985, A&A, 149, 135
- Navarro, J. F., White, S. D. M., 1993, Preprint, University of Durham
- Pearce, F. R., 1992, PhD thesis, University of Sussex
- Pearce, F. R., Thomas, P. A., Couchman, H. M. P., 1993, MNRAS, 264, 497, Paper 1
- Pearce, F. R., Thomas, P. A., Couchman, H. M. P., 1994, Preprint
- Sarazin, C. L., 1986, Rev. Mod. Phys., 58, No.1
- Thomas, P. A., Couchman, H. M. P., 1992, MNRAS, 257, 11, TC92
- Thomas, P. A., Fabian, A. C., 1992, MNRAS, 246, 156
- Villumsen, J. V., 1983, MNRAS, 204, 219
- White, S. D. M., 1980, MNRAS, 191, 1p